

Quarterly Statistical Report



Dates: 01/04/22 - 30/06/22



Contents

Definitions	1
Notes	1
Key Facts	2
1. New complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman for assessment, by month	2
2. Complaint volume by Participating Rail Operating Company	3
3. Ombudsman referrals per 1000 complaints handled by Rail Operating Companies	4
4. Channel used by Rail Passengers to refer complaints to the Rail Ombudsman	5
5. Average time to close In Scope complaints in working days	5
6. Complaints examined by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category	6
7. Complaints examined by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category per 1000 complaints handled by Participating Rail Operating Companies	6
8. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by second level category	7-8
9. Volumes assessed as In Scope or Out of Scope	9
10. Complaint classifications by Participating Rail Operating Company	10
11. Complaints retained by the Rail Ombudsman or transferred to consumer advocacy bodies, by month	11
12. Out of Scope categories	11
13. Simple Resolution case outcomes	12
14. Complex Resolution case outcomes	12
15. Simple Resolution case outcomes by Participating Rail Operating Company	13
16. Complex Resolution case outcomes by Participating Rail Operating Company	14
17. Resolution types and volume	15
18. Award types and volume by Participating Rail Operating Company	16





Definitions

Rail Passenger An individual who has undertaken, or has attempted to undertake, a journey on a scheduled rail service,

and has purchased (or has had purchased on their behalf), or has attempted to purchase, a ticket for that

journey.

Participating Rail
Operating Company

A rail operating company which is part of the Rail Ombudsman scheme. The full list of Participating Rail

Operating Companies is available here: www.railombudsman.org/about-us/Participating-service-providers/

In Scope A complaint accepted as being eligible for the Rail Ombudsman scheme.

Out of Scope (Transferred)

A complaint that is outside the scope of the Rail Ombudsman scheme which is transferred to either

Transport Focus or London TravelWatch.

Out of Scope (Ineligible)

A complaint which is deemed ineligible for the Rail Ombudsman scheme and also for Transport Focus and London TravelWatch. The majority of complaints found to be ineligible are caused by Rail Passengers approaching the Rail Ombudsman without a deadlock letter and before the end of the 40 working day

period allowed to Rail Operating Companies to resolve their complaints.

Simple Resolution A stage in the Rail Ombudsman process that provides an opportunity, in some circumstances, to quickly

resolve an issue.

Complex Resolution A stage in the Rail Ombudsman process where a Simple Resolution is not possible. The Rail Ombudsman

will first Mediate and then where applicable, Adjudicate to resolve an In Scope complaint.

Mediation The process by which, assisted by an independent view from the Rail Ombudsman, a settlement in relation

to an In Scope complaint can be negotiated to which both the Rail Passenger and the Participating Rail

Operating Company agree.

Adjudication The process by which, in the event that a Simple Resolution and Mediation have been unsuccessful in

reaching agreement between the Participating Rail Operating Company and the Rail Passenger, the Rail

Ombudsman will investigate and make an impartial decision on the case.

Notes

All percentages shown within this report have been rounded up or down to the nearest whole number.

Passenger journeys delivered by Participating Rail Operating Companies vary in length and number. Unless specified, complaint volumes in this report have not been weighted to reflect this and should not be used as mechanisms for comparison.

Further information on Rail Operating Companies' passenger numbers and complaint volumes can be found on the Office of Rail and Road's data portal here: https://dataportal.orr.gov.uk/

Complaints evaluated / closed by the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1 include complaints raised in the previous quarter.



Quarter 1: Key Facts

	Q1 2022-23	vs Q4 2021-22	vs Q1 2021-22
New cases referred to the Rail Ombudsman	829	-13%	+257%
Cases evaluated by the Rail Ombudsman	814	-17%	+248%
% Cases found to be In Scope for the scheme	62%	+6% pts	+16% pts
% Cases referred to consumer advocacy bodies	7%	0% pts	-11% pts
% Cases found to be Out of Scope: Ineligible	38%	+13% pts	+2% pts
Average financial award (where financial award secured)	£79	+43%	-7%

'Delay compensation schemes' remains the largest complaint category accounting for 27% of complaints evaluated this quarter. 'Complaints handling' is in second place with 20% of complaints and 'Train service performance' remains the 3rd largest category with 13% of complaints this quarter.

1. New complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman for assessment, by month



Total referred to the Rail Ombudsman in Quarter 1: 829


The above chart covers complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1 and includes both In Scope and Out of Scope complaints. Note: Outcomes of some of these will carry forward into the next Quarter.



2. Complaint volume by Participating Rail Operating Company

Volume of complaints assessed during Quarter 1 by Rail Operating Company.

■In Scope Out of Scope






3. Ombudsman referrals per 1000 complaints handled by Rail Operating Companies

Volume of complaints assessed during Quarter 1 by Participating Rail Operating Company, normalised by Rail Operator complaints (scaled by 1000s for ease of reading).

In ScopeOut of Scope




(Rail Operators' complaint volumes source: ORR)




4. Channel used by Rail Passengers to refer complaints to the Rail Ombudsman

The channel used by a Rail Passenger to refer a complaint (excluding contact relating to general enquiries, advice and signposting).

Channel	Vol. of complaints ▼
Web	717
Post	76
Email	13
Telephone	8
Total	814



5. Average time to close In Scope complaints in working days




We work to resolve cases within 40 working days. However, extensions may be agreed by all parties in the event of exceptional circumstances or where additional evidence or independent advice is necessary for a decision to be made.




6. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category

● In Scope ● Out of Scope



7. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category per 1000 complaints handled by Participating Rail Operating Companies

● In Scope ● Out of Scope



Using the above chart it is possible to identify the complaint categories that most commonly resulted in escalations to the Rail Ombudsman.



8. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by second level category

Top level category	Second level category	Vol. of complaints
Accessibility issues	Assistance booking process	2
Accessibility issues	Assistance staff	2
Accessibility issues	Booked assistance not provided at station	2
Delay compensation schemes	Compensation claim rejected	99
Delay compensation schemes	Compensation claims process	50
Complaints handling	Complaints not fully addressed/fulfilled by Participating Rail Operating Company	106
Accessibility issues	Lack of disabled facilities at station/on train	1
Accessibility issues	Lack of information	2
Delay compensation schemes	Level of compensation	30
Complaints handling	No response from Participating Rail Operating Company	21
Environmental	Noise pollution	4
Accessibility issues	Other	4
Company policy	Other	11
Complaints handling	Other	6
Delay compensation schemes	Other	11
Environmental	Other	2
Fares and retailing	Other	41
Provision of information	Other	4
Accessibility issues	Participating Rail Operating Company accessibility policy	1
Delay compensation schemes	Participating Rail Operating Company processing error	5
Provision of information	Provision of information about train times/platforms	10
Provision of information	Provision of information on website or mobile apps	4
Complaints handling	Response time	7
Fares and retailing	Smartcards	8
Delay compensation schemes	Speed of response	22
Complaints handling	Staff member was impolite/unhelpful	4
Provision of information	The provision of information during the journey	3
Fares and retailing	Ticket buying facilities	28
Company policy	Ticketing and refunds policy	51
Complaints handling	Unhappy at type/level of compensation	18
Fares and retailing	Value for money of ticket price	12

Continued on next page.



Continued from previous page.

Top level category	Second level category	Vol. of complaints
Quality on train	Cleanliness of train	1
Quality on train	Facilities onboard	21
Quality on train	Other	4
Quality on train	Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand	15
Quality on train	The toilet facilities	2
Quality on train	Upkeep and repair of the train	1
Safety and security	Other	3
Safety and security	Personal security onboard	15
Safety and security	Personal security whilst using station	9
Staff conduct and availability	Other	4
Staff conduct and availability	The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff at station	16
Staff conduct and availability	The availability of staff - at station	1
Staff conduct and availability	The availability of staff - onboard	2
Staff conduct and availability	The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train	13
Station quality	Facilities for car parking	3
Station quality	The availability of seating	1
Station quality	The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	2
Timetabling and connection issues	Connections with other forms of public transport	1
Timetabling and connection issues	Connections with other train services	5
Timetabling and connection issues	Other	1
Timetabling and connection issues	The frequency of the trains on that route	4
Timetabling and connection issues	The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)	1
Timetabling and connection issues	Timetabling	8
Train service performance	Other	7
Train service performance	Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time)	102




9. Volumes assessed as In Scope or Out of Scope

How we classified complaints that were closed by the Rail Ombudsman or deemed to be Out of Scope.

Classification Type	No. of complaints
In Scope/Complex Resolution	224
In Scope/Simple Resolution	284
Out of scope (Ineligible)	243
Out of Scope (Transferred)/	1
Out of Scope (Transferred)/London TravelWatch	26
Out of Scope (Transferred)/Transport Focus	36
Total	814

Of the 814 complaints assessed in Quarter 1, 62% (508 complaints) were In Scope, 38% (306) were deemed to be Out of Scope and Ineligible for the scheme (60% of which were due to no deadlock letter provided). 7% (62) were transferred to consumer advocacy bodies. See chart 12 on page 11 for Out of Scope reasons.




Note: Complaints assessed include complaints carried forward from the previous quarter as well as some of the new complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman during this quarter.



10. Complaint classifications by Participating Rail Operating Company

Complaints evaluated by the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1, by Participating Rail Operating Company.

■ In Scope/Complex Resolution
■ In Scope/Simple Resolution
■ Out of Scope





11. Complaints retained by the Rail Ombudsman or transferred to consumer advocacy bodies, by month

Transferred to ● Retained by the Rail Ombudsman ● Transport Focus ● London TravelWatch 12% 13% 21% 50% 93% 90% 91% 89% 91% 89% 88% 87% 85% 85% 80% 72% 0% Aug Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Q2 2021-22 Q3 2021-22 Q4 2021-22 Q1 2022-23

12. Out of Scope categories

Reasons complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman were assessed to be Out of Scope.

Out of Scope category	Out of Scope (Ineligible)	Out of Scope (Transferred)	Total ▼
No deadlock letter provided	184	1	185
Action being taken by alternative channel	19	12	31
Penalty fare appeals	1	27	28
Policy issues	4	17	21
Residential or lineside issues	11		11
Personal injury claim	8		8
Already settled	5		5
Planned service alterations/closures		5	5
Criminal claims	3	1	4
Consumer withdrew	3		3
Parking charge notices	2		2
Business to business	1		1
Campaigns/Petitions	1		1
Human Resources	1		1
Total	243	63	306




13. Simple Resolution case outcomes

Outcomes of closed Simple Resolution cases.




14. Complex Resolution case outcomes

Outcomes of closed Complex Resolution cases.





- 15. Simple Resolution case outcomes, by Participating Rail Operating Company Outcomes of closed Simple Resolution cases by Participating Rail Operating Company.
- Ombudsman instructs Rail Operating Company to take action Rail Passenger complaint not upheld
- Resolution reached between parties prior to Mediation






16. Complex Resolution case outcomes, by Participating Rail Operating Company

Outcomes of closed Complex Resolution cases by Participating Rail Operating Company.

- Rail Passenger complaint upheld in full Rail Passenger complaint upheld in part Settled through Mediation
- Rail Passenger complaint not upheld Rail Passenger withdrew complaint






17. Resolution types and volume

Award type	No. of awards
A gesture or gift	86
Apology	28
Compensation	107
Complimentary service	60
Explanation	32
No award made	131
Prescribed refund *	70
Refund	152
Ticket reissue	1
Total	667

^{*} paid in accordance with the delay repay procedure



Note: Some closed complaints may have received more than one award type to reach resolution.

Average financial award made £43

Largest financial award made £652.50



18. Resolution types and volume by Participating Rail Operating Company

ServiceProvider	A gesture or gift	Apology	Compensation	Complimentary service	Explanation	No award made	Prescribed refund *	Refund	Ticket reissue	Total
Avanti West Coast	10	6	24	26	4	25	34	35	1	165
Great Western Railway	24	2	14	9	2	12	7	28		98
TransPennine Express	17	10	15	2	5	6	10	22		87
London North Eastern Railway	10	1	12	1	5	6	4	17		56
Govia Thameslink Railway	2		5	3	1	27	1	2		41
Northern Trains Ltd	4		6	10	5	9		7		41
Cross Country	1	2	6	5	2	9	8	7		40
ScotRail	4		6	1	1	7		5		24
Greater Anglia	3	2	6		1	3	2	5		22
South Western		3	4	1	3	3		3		17
Transport for Wales	4	1	1	1		3	2	3		15
West Midlands Trains	1		1		1	3	1	6		13
Lumo	3	1	2					6		12
East Midlands Railway			1	1		6		3		11
c2c	1		1		1	1	1	1		6
Chiltern Railways	1		1			3				5
Caledonian Sleeper Train			1			3				4
Hull Trains			1			1		1		3
Merseyrail					1	2				3
Southeastern	1					1		1		3
Grand Central						1				1
Total	86	28	107	60	32	131	70	152	1	667

^{*} paid in accordance with the delay repay procedure



www.railombudsman.org

Dates: 01/04/22 - 30/06/22