

Quarterly Statistical Report



Dates: 01/04/22 - 30/06/22



Contents

| Definitions | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Notes | 1 |
| Key Facts | 2 |
| 1. New complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman for assessment, by month | 2 |
| 2. Complaint volume by Participating Rail Operating Company | 3 |
| 3. Ombudsman referrals per 1000 complaints handled by Rail Operating Companies | 4 |
| 4. Channel used by Rail Passengers to refer complaints to the Rail Ombudsman | 5 |
| 5. Average time to close In Scope complaints in working days | 5 |
| 6. Complaints examined by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category | 6 |
| 7. Complaints examined by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category per 1000 complaints handled by Participating Rail Operating Companies | 6 |
| 8. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by second level category | 7-8 |
| 9. Volumes assessed as In Scope or Out of Scope | 9 |
| 10. Complaint classifications by Participating Rail Operating Company | 10 |
| 11. Complaints retained by the Rail Ombudsman or transferred to consumer advocacy bodies, by month | 11 |
| 12. Out of Scope categories | 11 |
| 13. Simple Resolution case outcomes | 12 |
| 14. Complex Resolution case outcomes | 12 |
| 15. Simple Resolution case outcomes by Participating Rail Operating Company | 13 |
| 16. Complex Resolution case outcomes by Participating Rail Operating Company | 14 |
| 17. Resolution types and volume | 15 |
| 18. Award types and volume by Participating Rail Operating Company | 16 |



Definitions

| Rail Passenger | An individual who has undertaken, or has attempted to undertake, a journey on a scheduled rail service, and has purchased (or has had purchased on their behalf), or has attempted to purchase, a ticket for that journey. |
|---|---|
| Participating Rail Operating Company | A rail operating company which is part of the Rail Ombudsman scheme. The full list of Participating Rail Operating Companies is available here: www.railombudsman.org/about-us/Participating-service-providers/ |
| In Scope | A complaint accepted as being eligible for the Rail Ombudsman scheme. |
| Out of Scope (Transferred) | A complaint that is outside the scope of the Rail Ombudsman scheme which is transferred to either Transport Focus or London TravelWatch. |
| Out of Scope (Ineligible) | A complaint which is deemed ineligible for the Rail Ombudsman scheme and also for Transport Focus and London TravelWatch. The majority of complaints found to be ineligible are caused by Rail Passengers approaching the Rail Ombudsman without a deadlock letter and before the end of the 40 working day period allowed to Rail Operating Companies to resolve their complaints. |
| Simple Resolution | A stage in the Rail Ombudsman process that provides an opportunity, in some circumstances, to quickly resolve an issue. |
| Complex Resolution | A stage in the Rail Ombudsman process where a Simple Resolution is not possible. The Rail Ombudsman will first Mediate and then where applicable, Adjudicate to resolve an In Scope complaint. |
| Mediation | The process by which, assisted by an independent view from the Rail Ombudsman, a settlement in relation to an In Scope complaint can be negotiated to which both the Rail Passenger and the Participating Rail Operating Company agree. |
| Adjudication | The process by which, in the event that a Simple Resolution and Mediation have been unsuccessful in reaching agreement between the Participating Rail Operating Company and the Rail Passenger, the Rail Ombudsman will investigate and make an impartial decision on the case. |

Notes

All percentages shown within this report have been rounded up or down to the nearest whole number.

Passenger journeys delivered by Participating Rail Operating Companies vary in length and number. Unless specified, complaint volumes in this report have not been weighted to reflect this and should not be used as mechanisms for comparison.

Further information on Rail Operating Companies' passenger numbers and complaint volumes can be found on the Office of Rail and Road's data portal here: https://dataportal.orr.gov.uk/

Complaints evaluated / closed by the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1 include complaints raised in the previous quarter.



Quarter 1: Key Facts

| Q1 2022-23 | vs Q4 2021-22 | vs Q1 2021-22 |
|---------------|---|--|
| 829 | -13% | +257% |
| 814 | -17% | +248% |
| 62% | +6% pts | +16% pts |
| 7% | 0% pts | -11% pts |
| 38% | +13% pts | +2% pts |
| £43 | -22% | -49% |
| | 2022-23 829 814 62% 7% 38% | 2022-23 2021-22 829 -13% 814 -17% 62% +6% pts 7% 0% pts 38% +13% pts |

'Delay compensation schemes' remains the largest complaint category accounting for 27% of complaints evaluated this quarter. 'Complaints handling' is in second place with 20% of complaints and 'Train service performance' remains the 3rd largest category with 13% of complaints this quarter.



1. New complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman for assessment, by month

Total referred to the Rail Ombudsman in Quarter 1: 829


The above chart covers complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1 and includes both In Scope and Out of Scope complaints. Note: Outcomes of some of these will carry forward into the next Quarter.



2. Complaint volume by Participating Rail Operating Company

Volume of complaints assessed during Quarter 1 by Rail Operating Company.

In Scope Out of Scope






3. Ombudsman referrals per 1000 complaints handled by Rail Operating Companies

Volume of complaints assessed during Quarter 1 by Participating Rail Operating Company, normalised by Rail Operator complaints (scaled by 1000s for ease of reading).

In Scope Out of Scope




⁽Rail Operators' complaint volumes source: ORR)




4. Channel used by Rail Passengers to refer complaints to the Rail Ombudsman

The channel used by a Rail Passenger to refer a complaint (excluding contact relating to general enquiries, advice and signposting).




5. Average time to close In Scope complaints in working days



We work to resolve cases within 40 working days. However, extensions may be agreed by all parties in the event of exceptional circumstances or where additional evidence or independant advice is necessary for a decision to be made.




6. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category



In Scope Out of Scope

7. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by top level complaint category per 1000 complaints handled by Participating Rail Operating Companies





Using the above chart it is possible to identify the complaint categories that most commonly resulted in escalations to the Rail Ombudsman.



8. Complaints assessed by the Rail Ombudsman, by second level category

| Top level category | Second level category | Vol. of complaints |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Accessibility issues | Assistance booking process | 2 |
| Accessibility issues | Assistance staff | 2 |
| Accessibility issues | Booked assistance not provided at station | 2 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Compensation claim rejected | 99 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Compensation claims process | 50 |
| Complaints handling | Complaints not fully addressed/fulfilled by Participating Rail Operating Company | 106 |
| Accessibility issues | Lack of disabled facilities at station/on train | 1 |
| Accessibility issues | Lack of information | 2 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Level of compensation | 30 |
| Complaints handling | No response from Participating Rail Operating Company | 21 |
| Environmental | Noise pollution | 4 |
| Accessibility issues | Other | 4 |
| Company policy | Other | 11 |
| Complaints handling | Other | 6 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Other | 11 |
| Environmental | Other | 2 |
| Fares and retailing | Other | 41 |
| Provision of information | Other | 4 |
| Accessibility issues | Participating Rail Operating Company accessibility policy | 1 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Participating Rail Operating Company processing error | 5 |
| Provision of information | Provision of information about train times/platforms | 10 |
| Provision of information | Provision of information on website or mobile apps | 4 |
| Complaints handling | Response time | 7 |
| Fares and retailing | Smartcards | 8 |
| Delay compensation schemes | Speed of response | 22 |
| Complaints handling | Staff member was impolite/unhelpful | 4 |
| Provision of information | The provision of information during the journey | 3 |
| Fares and retailing | Ticket buying facilities | 28 |
| Company policy | Ticketing and refunds policy | 51 |
| Complaints handling | Unhappy at type/level of compensation | 18 |
| Fares and retailing | Value for money of ticket price | 12 |

Continued on next page.



Continued from previous page.

| Top level category | Second level category | Vol. of complaints |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Quality on train | Cleanliness of train | 1 |
| Quality on train | Facilities onboard | 21 |
| Quality on train | Other | 4 |
| Quality on train | Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand | 15 |
| Quality on train | The toilet facilities | 2 |
| Quality on train | Upkeep and repair of the train | 1 |
| Safety and security | Other | 3 |
| Safety and security | Personal security onboard | 15 |
| Safety and security | Personal security whilst using station | 9 |
| Staff conduct and availability | Other | 4 |
| Staff conduct and availability | The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff at station | 16 |
| Staff conduct and availability | The availability of staff - at station | 1 |
| Staff conduct and availability | The availability of staff - onboard | 2 |
| Staff conduct and availability | The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train | 13 |
| Station quality | Facilities for car parking | 3 |
| Station quality | The availability of seating | 1 |
| Station quality | The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms | 2 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | Connections with other forms of public transport | 1 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | Connections with other train services | 5 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | Other | 1 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | The frequency of the trains on that route | 4 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed) | 1 |
| Timetabling and connection issues | Timetabling | 8 |
| Train service performance | Other | 7 |
| Train service performance | Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time) | 102 |




9. Volumes assessed as In Scope or Out of Scope

How we classified complaints that were closed by the Rail Ombudsman or deemed to be Out of Scope.

| Classification Type | No. of complaints |
|---|-------------------|
| In Scope/Complex Resolution | 224 |
| In Scope/Simple Resolution | 284 |
| Out of scope (Ineligible) | 243 |
| Out of Scope (Transferred)/ | 1 |
| Out of Scope (Transferred)/London TravelWatch | 26 |
| Out of Scope (Transferred)/Transport Focus | 36 |
| Total | 814 |

Of the 814 complaints assessed in Quarter 1, 62% (508 complaints) were In Scope, 38% (306) were deemed to be Out of Scope and Ineligible for the scheme (60% of which were due to no deadlock letter provided). 7% (62) were transferred to consumer advocacy bodies. See chart 12 on page 11 for Out of Scope reasons.




Note: Complaints assessed include complaints carried forward from the previous quarter as well as some of the new complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman during this quarter.



10. Complaint classifications by Participating Rail Operating Company


Complaints evaluated by the Rail Ombudsman during Quarter 1, by Participating Rail Operating Company.

● In Scope/Complex Resolution ● In Scope/Simple Resolution ● Out of Scope





11. Complaints retained by the Rail Ombudsman or transferred to consumer advocacy bodies, by month



Transferred to • Retained by the Rail Ombudsman • Transport Focus • London TravelWatch

12. Out of Scope categories


Reasons complaints referred to the Rail Ombudsman were assessed to be Out of Scope.

| Out of Scope category | Out of Scope (Ineligible) | Out of Scope (Transferred) | Total ▼ |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| No deadlock letter provided | 184 | 1 | 185 |
| Action being taken by alternative channel | 19 | 12 | 31 |
| Penalty fare appeals | 1 | 27 | 28 |
| Policy issues | 4 | 17 | 21 |
| Residential or lineside issues | 11 | | 11 |
| Personal injury claim | 8 | | 8 |
| Already settled | 5 | | 5 |
| Planned service alterations/closures | | 5 | 5 |
| Criminal claims | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Consumer withdrew | 3 | | 3 |
| Parking charge notices | 2 | | 2 |
| Business to business | 1 | | 1 |
| Campaigns/Petitions | 1 | | 1 |
| Human Resources | 1 | | 1 |
| Total | 243 | 63 | 306 |



13. Simple Resolution case outcomes


Outcomes of closed Simple Resolution cases.



14. Complex Resolution case outcomes

Outcomes of closed Complex Resolution cases.

Mediation Adjudication Withdrawn






15. Simple Resolution case outcomes, by Participating Rail Operating Company

Outcomes of closed Simple Resolution cases by Participating Rail Operating Company.

Ombudsman instructs Rail Operating Company to take action Rail Passenger complaint not upheld Resolution reached between parties prior to Mediation





16. Complex Resolution case outcomes, by Participating Rail Operating Company

Outcomes of closed Complex Resolution cases by Participating Rail Operating Company.

Rail Passenger complaint upheld in full
Rail Passenger complaint upheld in part
Settled through Mediation
Rail Passenger complaint not upheld
Rail Passenger withdrew complaint

| | | | | | | | | | _ | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Avanti West Coast | 23% 5 | | | 56% | | 15% | | | | |
| Govia Thameslink Railway | 17% 21% | | | | 52% | 7% | | | | |
| Northern Trains Ltd | 20% | | | 6 | 4% | | | 12% | | |
| Great Western Railway | 5% | | 68% | 6 | | | | 26% | | |
| CrossCountry | 20% | | | 60' | % | | | 20% | | |
| London North Eastern Railway | 9% | | | 73% | | | | 18% | | |
| ScotRail | 10% | 30% | | | 30% | | 30% | | | |
| TransPennine Express | 4(|)% | | | 40% | Ĵ | | 10% | 10% | |
| Transport for Wales | 22% | | 56% | | | 5% | | | % | |
| Greater Anglia | 13% 13 | % | 50% | | | % | | | 25% | |
| West Midlands Trains | 13% | | 50% | | 13% | | | 25% | | |
| South Western Railway | 14% | | 5 | 7% | | | 14% | Ď | 14% | |
| Chiltern Railways | 4(| 0% | | 20' | 20% | | 40% | | | |
| c2c | | 50% | | | 25% | | | 25% | | |
| Caledonian Sleeper | 25% | | | | 75% | | | | | |
| East Midlands Railway | 33% | 33% 33 | | | 33% | | | 33% | | |
| Hull Trains | 67% | | | | 33% | | | 33% | | |
| | 100 | | | 1% | | | | | | |
| Grand Central | | | | 100 | | | | | | |
| Grand Central Lumo | | | | 100 | | | | | | |




17. Resolution types and volume


| Award type | No. of awards |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| A gesture or gift | 86 |
| Apology | 28 |
| Compensation | 107 |
| Complimentary service | 60 |
| Explanation | 32 |
| No award made | 131 |
| Prescribed refund * | 70 |
| Refund | 152 |
| Ticket reissue | 1 |
| Total | 667 |



* paid in accordance with the delay repay procedure



Note: Some closed complaints may have received more than one award type to reach resolution.





18. Resolution types and volume by Participating Rail Operating Company

| ServiceProvider | A gesture or gift | Apology | Compensation | Complimentary service | Explanation | No award made | Prescribed refund * | Refund | Ticket reissue | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------|
| Avanti West Coast | 10 | 6 | 24 | 26 | 4 | 25 | 34 | 35 | 1 | 165 |
| Great Western Railway | 24 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 28 | | 98 |
| TransPennine Express | 17 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 22 | | 87 |
| London North Eastern Railway | 10 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 17 | | 56 |
| Govia Thameslink Railway | 2 | | 5 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 2 | | 41 |
| Northern Trains Ltd | 4 | | 6 | 10 | 5 | 9 | | 7 | | 41 |
| Cross Country | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 7 | | 40 |
| ScotRail | 4 | | 6 | 1 | 1 | 7 | | 5 | | 24 |
| Greater Anglia | 3 | 2 | 6 | | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | | 22 |
| South Western | | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | 17 |
| Transport for Wales | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 2 | 3 | | 15 |
| West Midlands Trains | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | | 13 |
| Lumo | 3 | 1 | 2 | | | | | 6 | | 12 |
| East Midlands Railway | | | 1 | 1 | | 6 | | 3 | | 11 |
| c2c | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 6 |
| Chiltern Railways | 1 | | 1 | | | 3 | | | | 5 |
| Caledonian Sleeper Train | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | | 4 |
| Hull Trains | | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 3 |
| Merseyrail | | | | | 1 | 2 | | | | 3 |
| Southeastern | 1 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 3 |
| Grand Central | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Total | 86 | 28 | 107 | 60 | 32 | 131 | 70 | 152 | 1 | 667 |

* paid in accordance with the delay repay procedure



Dates: 01/04/22 - 30/06/22